

A Conversation with Dr. Gordon Barland & Douglas Gene Williams

From: WILLIAMS DOUGLAS G (29159064)

Sent Date: Thursday, April 7, 2016 9:50 AM

To:

Subject: OPERATION LIE BUSTERS: A Travesty of Justice - #1

It is now very obvious that my book, FROM COP TO CRUSADER: THE STORY OF MY FIGHT AGAINST THE DANGEROUS MYTH OF LIE DETECTION, has been distributed to just about every polygraph operator on the face of the earth. And while it is true that I have still not achieved my goal of destroying the dangerous myth of lie detection, I have most certainly succeeded in infuriating those who administer these so-called lie detector tests. Polygraph operators know they are perpetrating a massive fraud and they know that they cannot detect deception by simply measuring changes in a person's breathing, pulse rate, and sweat activity on the hand - in fact the whole idea that a polygraph is a "lie detector" has been debunked and all the scientific evidence proves it is no more accurate than the toss of a coin - but still the myth persists. And the polygraph industry has grown into a four billion dollar a year enterprise so they will do whatever it takes to keep this very lucrative con-game going. Polygraph operators know they can't win a debate with me about the validity of the polygraph as a lie detector - many have tried and all have failed. And they know they can't present any valid reason for their continued use of the polygraph as a lie detector. They know that I have proved the polygraph industry is just an evil scam, so in an attempt to keep the myth of lie detection intact, they realized they had to destroy me - or I would certainly destroy them.

So, one man came forward with a desperate plan designed to finally try to stop me for good. This man's name is John R. Schwartz, and his plan was called OPERATION LIE BUSTERS. Schwartz was the head of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Agency's Internal Affairs Credibility Assessment Division (the polygraph section). He spent literally years working with his subordinate polygraph operator, Fred Ball, devising the scheme (OPERATION LIE BUSTERS) to set me up on manufactured charges and throw me in prison. Schwartz' and Ball's insidious plan was a desperate attempt to stop me from "protesting against the polygraph" and stop me from giving personal training (practice polygraph tests) which is, in essence, just allowing people to understand how the polygraph works and teaching them how to overcome their nervousness and learn how to prove their truthfulness by producing a perfect "truthful" chart - they knew they had to stop me because I was going to destroy the myth of "lie detection" and put them out of business. According to newspaper reports about OPERATION LIE BUSTERS, Schwartz is quoted as saying those who "protest the loudest and the longest against polygraph testing are the ones we need to focus our attention on." And in this same

speech Swartz acknowledged that teaching the techniques (techniques found in my manual HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH) known in polygraph circles as "countermeasures" isn't always illegal and might be protected under the First Amendment in some situations. "I'm teaching countermeasures right now. The polygraph schools are supposed to be teaching about countermeasures," he said. "So teaching about countermeasures in and of itself is not only not illegal, it's protected. You have a right to free speech in this country. But (Doug Williams) may be prosecuted if he knows that the people he is teaching plan to lie about crimes during federal polygraphs." This marks the very first time that a polygraph operator has admitted that it is possible for me to train a person to pass the polygraph test regardless of whether or not they were telling the truth or lying. This is a very important admission because if it is true that I can indeed do that, that is prima facie evidence that the polygraph is absolutely worthless as a lie detector. Schwartz admitted that I can do this by the statement that he made in that same speech. He said, "It makes more sense to me to try to investigate the party (Doug Williams) that's doing the training because when you do that, you eliminate dozens or hundreds or thousands of people...from getting that training." So not only does Schwartz admit that I can indeed train a person to ALWAYS pass the polygraph test but he shows his fear that this has been happening in thousands of cases. So, there is no doubt that I am the one who has protested the loudest and the longest against polygraph testing. But when did protesting the fraud and abuse of an out of control government agency become a felony crime? Why did the Department of Justice decide to go along with Schwartz and indict, prosecute, and imprison me for daring to speak out against the abuse caused by the use of the insidious Orwellian instrument of torture commonly referred to as the "lie detector"? Their motives are very clearly stated and there is no doubt that that is what the prosecution was all about - they went after me simply because I was protesting (and exposing) the waste, fraud, and abuse that is rampant in the polygraph industry. Yes, John R Schwartz freely admitted to - and even bragged about - using the criminal justice system to go after me, to silence me, and destroy me because I was exposing him and his cohorts as frauds and con men, and pointing out the fact that they have ruined the lives of many people by falsely accusing them of deception. But the real question those in authority who rely on the polygraph should be asking is: Why is the polygraph industry so afraid of me? Why did they mount a massive attack on me for speaking out against them? I'll tell you why - because I am telling the truth about their evil scam and they know they cannot withstand even a cursory examination of their procedures, Why? Because I am right and they are wrong! That reminds me of an old saying, "It is dangerous to be right when the government is wrong."

It is frightening when you consider the fact that at the urging of one vindictive government polygraph operator, the Department of Justice, Homeland Security, the CBP, the FBI, and many other government agencies would form a task force and raid my office - that they would hold me against my will for hours, terrorized my wife and me, search my office and my home, and seize all of my computers, my polygraph instruments and every scrap of information that was of any interest to them. All this because I was protesting their fraudulent and abusive use of the polygraph as a "lie detector." Schwartz and his fellow polygraph can men knew they could not prove their

polygraph was accurate and reliable - and they knew that I would continue to expose their fraud, so they conceived of OPERATION LIE BUSTERS as a way to not only get their revenge and punish me but to try to stop me from doing what I had been doing for almost forty years.

Basically OPERATION LIE BUSTERS consisted of sending two undercover agents in to pose as people wanting to learn how to pass their polygraph tests, They knew exactly how to entrap me in their scheme - they used the two things that are the hallmark of their profession - trickery and deceit. They set me up and unfortunately for me, I fell into their trap, They knew I had spent decades helping people pass the polygraph test - because I knew that just telling the truth only worked about half the time, So the agents started off by saying they were telling the truth and were just frightened about taking the test. The undercover agents were just following a carefully devised script, and they knew exactly how to approach me so that I would fall into their trap. They got me to agree to train them under false pretenses - telling me that they were just afraid they would be falsely accused of doing something wrong or that what they told the polygraph examiner would get them in trouble with the job they had - then when they get to me, they changed their story and started making outrageous statements about crimes they had committed, I'll admit I was confused and did not handle the situation correctly, but I swear I had no criminal intent. I reasoned that if a person could not refuse to take the polygraph test without suffering consequences as a result of that refusal, they should at least be able to protect their rights under the Fifth Amendment and not be forced to give evidence against themselves - even if that "evidence" was a reaction on the polygraph chart, But it is important to note that this was a completely fabricated, manufactured crime - they had to manufacture a crime because they had no evidence of a real crime. The undercover agents were lying about lying - they never even took a polygraph test, and even the pre-sentence report by the probation officer said very clearly that "there are no victims of this crime" - it was all just pretend, I have often said that since this was just a pretend crime, I should just pretend to go to prison.

More evidence that there was never any real crime committed is in the fact that federal agents seized the records of almost 5,000 people who had either received my personal training or my manual and DVD. They interviewed most, if not all, of them. The agents told them: "We're not after you, we are after Doug Williams." They asked them over and over again if I ever told them to lie or if they ever told me they were going to lie, and if so, would they testify against me in court. Not one of those 5,000 people ever said that I told them to lie or that they told me they were going to lie. So, after over three years of investigating me, the government still had no evidence that I had ever committed any real crime or even said or done anything that they could twist into a crime - so they had to manufacture a crime with which to charge me. It should also be noted that my attorney asked the US Attorney in Oklahoma why he was not prosecuting my case, and why the Justice Department was sending attorneys from the Public Integrity section out of Washington DC instead. He simply said, "We looked at the case and we didn't want anything to do with it." Also, the charges listed on the search warrant were different than the charges they indicted me on. They obviously had a real problem finding some

statute or some phrase in some statute that they could twist into something with which to charge me. The statutes they used were certainly not used in the manner in which they were intended by the lawmakers, More details about OPERATION LIE BUSTERS can be found in my book, FROM COP TO CRUSADER, in the chapter entitled BIG BROTHER IS HERE, AND HE IS REALLY PISSED OFF.

From: WILLIAMS DOUGLAS G (29159064)

Sent Date: Thursday, April 7, 2016 9:54 AM

To:

Subject: OPERATION LIE BUSTERS: A Travesty of Justice - #2

God knows my motives - I have spent forty years trying to help people get past the most traumatic experience most of them will ever endure. All I ever did was try to help people avoid being falsely accused of deception. Polygraph operators routinely call people liars simply because they had a nervous reaction on the wrong question - and I was only trying to avoid what the polygraph operators euphemistically refer to as "false positives." I had demonstrated the terrible problem with "false positives" on CBS 60 MINUTES thirty years ago. In that investigative expose of the abusive nature of polygraph testing, three out of three different polygraph operators called three different people liars on a crime that never even happened. Go to the media at www.polygraph.com to see that program - and other media clips which prove my statement that the polygraph, when used as a "lie detector," is nothing but a sick joke. As a matter of fact, the problem with "false positives" is worse than it has ever been. The government's own records indicate that two thirds of all applicants for federal positions requiring pre-employment polygraph exams are accused of deception and denied employment. That is entirely unacceptable - especially when you consider that those figures represent tens of thousands of people who have had their lives ruined and their careers destroyed by the thugs running this evil polygraph scam! I hate the polygraph industry because they are perpetrating an evil fraud that has destroyed the lives of literally millions of people during the one hundred year history of the scam of "lie detection". It is the longest running con-game in the history of this country and it must be exposed and stopped! And, of course, the polygraph industry hates me because for forty years I have been actively exposing the waste, fraud, and abuse that is rampant in this evil industry.

Now, let's explore the motives of the government polygraph operators who were behind OPERATION LIE BUSTERS. Their motivation is no secret. Customs and Border Protection polygraph chief John R Schwartz clearly stated what their motives were. He said that "those who protest the loudest and the longest against polygraph testing are the ones what we need to focus our attention on." And he was desperately trying to stop people from getting the training that I had been providing. Training that they had always claimed was ineffective. Prior to this, everyone in the polygraph industry staunchly maintained that it was impossible for me to train a person to pass the polygraph test - and further that they could easily detect any attempt to do so by using my technique. So, now I'm in prison for doing something that all the polygraph operators have heretofore said was impossible to do - and that is to teach a person to "beat" the polygraph. So, the basis of the charges against me is that I taught people to "beat" the polygraph - but let me reiterate, if I can indeed teach a person to "beat" the test, that is prima facie evidence that the polygraph is absolutely worthless as a lie detector.

So, you can attribute to me whatever motive you like - but the fact remains that this is the first time the polygraph industry has admitted that a person can be taught to "beat" the polygraph. And by making that admission, they also admit that they have been lying all these years about their ability to detect when a person is using these so-called "countermeasures" - and more importantly that they have been lying about the accuracy and validity of the polygraph as a "lie detector".

So let me ask you, who is more patriotic? The government polygraph operators who insist that we should trust our national security and the integrity of our criminal justice system to the polygraph - or me, who warns that it is foolish and dangerous to put our trust and confidence in an instrument that has been proven to be unreliable? Who is telling the truth? The polygraph operators who falsely claim to be able to detect deception with the polygraph and claim it is accurate 98% of the time - or me, who has proved the polygraph only detects nervousness and that nervousness has no systematic correlation to deception - and further who has proved that it can be beaten rather easily? Think about it!

In the private sector, it is a violation of federal law to even ask a person to submit to a polygraph test. But in the government, the polygraph is considered to be an "official process" - and I am serving time in a federal prison for teaching people how to "beat" it. Think about that! Does that make any kind of sense? Polygraph testing is outlawed in the private sector because it is no more accurate than the toss of a coin. The courts do not allow it to be used as evidence for the same reason. Yet when the government uses it to screen applicants and employees and to determine the truth in criminal and internal investigations, the polygraph test somehow magically transforms from a test, the administering of which is a federal crime in the private sector, into an "official process" that is deemed to be 98% accurate and reliable. What a crock of shit!

I appeal to those in positions of power in the government to take note of what my prosecution actually means. By prosecuting me for teaching people how to "beat" the polygraph, polygraph operators have admitted that the polygraph is absolutely worthless as a lie detector. So, please tell me - why in hell do you still rely on it? Wake up! You are the victims of the polygraph operator's fraud. Stop the madness! Stop relying on this fraudulent polygraph procedure! It is foolish and dangerous to continue to trust our national security and the integrity of the criminal justice system to what amounts to an outrageous - and criminal - scam. In fact it is criminally negligent of you who are in positions of power to continue to use and rely on the results of the polygraph "examination." There is no excuse for you to be deliberately ignorant about the waste fraud and abuse which is rampant in the polygraph industry. I also appeal to our elected officials: Stop this insane waste of government resources on something that is a proven fraud. Educate yourselves! Go to www.polygraph.com - read the articles I have posted there, read my manual, my book, watch my DVD and watch the media clips - educate yourselves!

From: WILLIAMS DOUGLAS G (29159064)

Sent Date: Thursday, April 7, 2016 9:49 AM

To:

Subject: Barland email and my response #1

Dr. Gordon Barland, who retired from the DoDPI (Department of Defense Polygraph Institute), recently sent me an email in prison. I will make his email and my response public along with an article I have written about OPERATION LIE BUSTERS. But before I get into Dr. Barland's email and my response, I want to share with you some of the details of my history with Dr. Barland - which he alludes to in his email. Much of this is taken from my book FROM COP TO CRUSADER: THE STORY OF MY FIGHT AGAINST THE DANGEROUS MYTH OF LIE DETECTION - this book and my manual HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH are both available on Amazon.

In June of 1986, I was invited to come to Washington D.C. to be a member of the Office of Technology Assessment to study and review the Department of Defense polygraph program. Part of the discussion during this meeting was on the subject of so-called "countermeasures."

In my report to Congress after this meeting I made the following statement: "Page 5 of the OTA report is enough to induce vomiting. I cannot believe you are spending \$590,000 to study the modern-day equivalent of walking on a bed of coals. And to devote \$100,000 to the detection of countermeasures is even more perverted. I'll let you come watch me teach "countermeasures" for free. Better yet, I'll come up to D.C, and teach you all about countermeasures for \$200 a day plus expenses. It should take me about a week. I am enclosing the VHS tapes of CBS NIGHTWATCH, and CBS 60 MINUTES, along with a copy of my manual HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH. Please make copies of the tapes and the enclosed written material available to the public. If the government persists on its present course of expanding the use of the polygraph, this information will become even more popular than it already is."—Signed Doug Williams

Shortly after that meeting at the OTA, I received a phone call from Dr, Barland who identified himself as a polygraph examiner and instructor at the DoDPI. Barland told me he was preparing a course on identifying countermeasures - specifically those taught in my manual HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH. He said his course was based exclusively on the information in my manual and that he wanted permission to use my manual as his textbook. He told me he was developing a forty hour course for the DoDPI that would teach polygraph examiners how to determine when a person was using my Sting Technique. He asked if he could get a discount for a "bulk purchase." I told him he could distribute as many copies as he liked to all the polygraph operators in the government and to tell those polygraph operators that I dared them to prove to me

that they could tell when anyone was using my technique to produce a "truthful" polygraph chart. I told him there was no way anyone could determine whether or not a person was using my technique because there was absolutely no difference between a manipulated reaction and a naturally occurring one. I told him the Sting Technique was very simple - I just teach people how to relax and avoid showing a reaction on a relevant question and also how to manipulate a reaction to a control question - thereby producing a perfect natural looking "truthful" polygraph chart tracing.

I told Barland that the reasons I wrote the manual in the first place was not to teach people how to "beat" the test, but to teach them how to avoid being falsely accused of deception since just telling the truth only worked about half the time. The other reason I wrote the manual was to demonstrate how ridiculous it was to refer to the polygraph as a "lie detector" when a person could easily learn to control and manipulate the polygraph chart tracings at will and always produce a perfectly natural "truthful" chart regardless of whether they were telling the truth or lying. But I happily gave Barland permission to use my manual as his textbook in his "countermeasures course." All I asked in return was that if he was able to detect when someone was using my technique that he would offer me proof of his ability to do that. No proof was ever offered! I'm sure the reason was because they were never able to detect anyone using these so-called "countermeasures."

The more polygraph operators learned about the "Sting Technique," the more paranoid they became. In fact, one of the most effective weapons I have used against these con men is their own paranoia - indeed most polygraph operators now routinely accuse people of using countermeasures because they are so paranoid they are convinced that I have trained everyone they test! But, by making these accusations, they are admitting that the polygraph doesn't work as a "lie detector" and that the chart tracings can be easily manipulated - which renders the polygraph absolutely worthless!

Later, in 1999, the Department of Energy held public hearings on its polygraph policy because of a proposal by the Energy Department to give polygraph tests to its employees at the Los Alamos National Laboratory. I had given employees at the National Laboratory permission to distribute free copies of my manual to everyone threatened with the polygraph. Barland spoke at one of those hearings and attempted to convince his audience of scientists and engineers that "nowadays polygraph operators are able to detect the countermeasures found in the manual HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH." Barland claimed, "We now are able to detect people who are trying to manipulate their results, and we have learned a lot about how people go about doing that. Earlier this year we published a case where Doug Williams had given information to a person on how to beat the polygraph, but he was not successful." What Barland conveniently failed to mention - or more correctly lied about - was that the person "was not successful" because he admitted to having employed the Sting Technique - and in fact he had employed the technique successfully and actually passed his polygraph test. Later in the post-test interview he told the polygraph operator what he had done - he was actually proud of his ability to "beat the test." Had he not made this admission, he would have passed the test and no one would have been the wiser. Barland had

been using my manual as his textbook and training polygraph operators to detect the Sting Technique for over ten years and the only proof he could offer that any polygraph operator was able to do this was that one lame-brained idiot who admitted using my technique to pass his test. The fact is, to this day no one at DoDPI (or for that matter

any other polygraph operator) has come up with a reliable method for detecting these so-called "countermeasures". And Barland's deliberately misleading (read lying assertion) before an audience of top-notch atomic scientists and engineers is proof of the polygraph industry's consternation over the fact that they have never been able to catch anyone using my technique. If they had any proof, they would certainly have offered it - and even bragged about it - by now. So, polygraph operators know it is impossible for them to detect so-called "countermeasures" - and they know that their inability to do so is prima facie evidence that the polygraph is absolutely worthless as a "lie detector." So, what do they do? They continue to lie, of course - but that is par for the course with them because every thing about the so-called "lie detector" is a lie! In fact, claiming the polygraph is accurate and reliable as a lie detector is the longest running con-game in the history of the country.

From: WILLIAMS DOUGLAS G (29159064)

Sent Date: Thursday, April 7, 2016 9:53 AM

To:

Subject: Barland email and my response #2

Dr. Barland - I received your email. I will respond to it and make public both your email and my response. I will respond to each section separately.

YOUR STATEMENT: I've thought about you many times, my friend. I'm sorry you're reading this in prison. I truly believe that America's greatest strength is our freedom of speech.

MY RESPONSE: I think it is presumptuous of you to refer to me as your friend when you have never conducted yourself as anything other than a conniving adversary who has treated me more like the subject of an investigation than a friend. You were obviously just posing as a friend in an attempt to con me into giving you information about so-called countermeasures - information you used to create a good position for yourself with the DoDPI. In fact you made a good high paying career off of my manual and my Sting Technique. You posed as my friend in order to get access to that information.

As to your being "sorry" that I'm in prison - please know that I don't want or need your sympathy. If you really believe that I'm in prison because I have exercised my right under the 1st Amendment's provision of "freedom of speech" by "protesting the loudest and the longest against the polygraph" - which is indeed the case and which your statement implies - then you should have used your considerable influence with the government polygraph community at the outset of their attack on my "freedom of speech" when OPERATION LIE BUSTERS was first begun. A public statement and/or an article or two on the American Polygraph Association website - even a few statements in response to the numerous articles that were written about this travesty may have gone a long way towards preventing this flagrant abuse of authority by the government polygraph operators behind OPERATION LIE BUSTERS. But at this point, that lame statement after the fact is neither helpful nor appreciated. It strikes me as being nothing but an old man trying to salve his conscience for his lack of integrity and his failure to speak out against an obvious and outrageous abuse. At the very least you could have gone on the record with your objections when it could have made a difference rather than after when it makes no difference at all. Your expression of sorrow and sympathy has absolutely no value to me at all - quite the contrary - in fact, it angers me more than it comforts me.

YOUR STATEMENT: Although we find ourselves somewhat near the opposite poles in terms of how we rate the accuracy of the polygraph, I believe I know why that is. In rNO words: Dick Arther.

MY RESPONSE: Yes, we are indeed "near opposite poles in terms of how we rate the accuracy of the polygraph." While I am not sure about what percentage of accuracy you give the polygraph as a "lie detector", I know that many of your cohorts throw around ridiculous and unsupportable percentages as high as 99%. I, on the other hand, have proved it is "no more accurate than the toss of a coin" and I have been saying that since I wrote HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH in 1979. It is interesting to note that those are the exact words the U.S. Supreme Court used in 1996 when they refused to allow polygraph results into evidence. The high court also stated that the other reason they refused to allow polygraph results to be used as evidence was that it could be beaten rather easily - and they cited information that was originally contained in my manual HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH. So, when you factor in the fact that I can teach a person how to control every tracing on the polygraph chart and always produce a "truthful" chart regardless of whether or not they are telling the truth or lying - that brings the percentage of accuracy down to a big fat ZERO! Indeed, my statement from 1979 - that the polygraph is no more accurate than the toss of a coin and that it can be beaten rather easily - has been borne out by scientific studies, the court, and my own empirical evidence. I don't know whether you and your cohorts are deliberately lying when you claim the polygraph is 99% accurate or whether you are just ignorant - but I suspect it is the former.

As to Dick Arther (Director of the National Training Center of Lie Detection where I was trained and certified as an expert polygraphist in 1972), at least he was honest enough to try to get a confession to validate his accusation that a person was a liar rather than accusing that person of deception simply because they had a nervous reaction on a relevant question.

YOUR STATEMENT: Correct me if I'm wrong Doug, but in my opinion Dick, bless his soul, was an excellent interrogator who knew an incredible amount about reading people" and creating a pretest interview designed to "push" innocent and guilty suspects in different directions. If the charts didn't support his gut feeling, he was inclined to interrogate in an effort to sort things out. Because he didn't fully trust the charts (despite claiming he had never found an error among the X-thousand exams he had run), neither did his students. All this was back in the day when there was no research whatsoever on the control (comparison) question test, and there was blind faith in the near-infallibility of the examiner's decision.

MY RESPONSE: Arther was simply trying to validate his decision to call a person a liar by obtaining a confession. I think it was more honorable that you and your fellow con men in that he knew the polygraph was not - and is not to this day - accurate as a "lie detector" because he knew—and you very well know also—that there is no reaction that ALWAYS indicates deception. You and I know - and all the scientific evidence proves - that the reaction that you claim brands a person as a liar is caused by the act of lying

only about fifty percent of the time. And as to your alluding to some nonsense about research concerning the control (comparison) question test, you know very well that there is no such research. In fact, no study has ever been done that validates the control (comparison) test as being reliable or accurate in detecting deception - the control (comparison) question test is no more accurate now than it ever was. As you very well know, the control (comparison) question test is the epitome of comparing apples and oranges - and we all know that doesn't work. Thus, your so-called research is nothing more than propaganda - or as we say in my neck of the woods pure unadulterated BULLSHIT!

YOUR STATEMENT: I'm glad you came to feel disgusted with yourself and the polygraph because of the great emphasis Dick placed on interrogation, interrogation, interrogation. You and I were the products of our time.

MY RESPONSE: I came to feel disgusted with myself - enough so that I quit the polygraph scam and started fighting it. And I became disgusted with the polygraph industry not because of the emphasis Dick put on interrogation but because I was sick of all the fraud and abuse perpetrated by those in the polygraph industry. I saw first hand how people's lives were ruined by con men like you who perpetrating this fraud just in order to unjustly enrich yourselves. But, you are correct about one thing, Dick did indeed place great emphasis on interrogation. As a matter of fact his mantra was, "Tear off the chart and get the confession." But at least Dick used the polygraph properly. The polygraph should never be used for anything other than a prop for an interrogation. Let me reiterate a proven fact - the polygraph is NOT a "lie detector!" So Dick's emphasis on interrogation was not the only reason I was disgusted with the whole process. What disgusted and infuriated me then and now is the fact that polygraph operators brand people as liars based on the flimsy evidence of a nervous "reaction" on the polygraph chart. And you know as well as I do that the reaction that you and your cohorts in the despicable polygraph industry claim is indicative of deception is nothing more than the "fight or flight response" to a stimulus.

YOUR STATEMENT: Doug, I am and always will be eternally grateful to you for your patriotism and helpfulness. You will recall that in the 90's I called you seeking permission to purchase your countermeasures booklet as a textbook for the countermeasures course I was developing at DoDPI. I of course had already purchased your first edition for myself long before I went with DoDPI, and bought each successive update. When I called you, I was hoping to negotiate a bulk order purchase price. Your answer was in effect, "Hell yes, Xerox as many copies as you'd like and distribute it as widely as you like within the federal polygraph community. No fee."

MY RESPONSE: Yes, that is true. I have never made a secret about what I was doing and why I was doing it. But I mistakenly thought you had the integrity to report to your superiors that the polygraph was a very flawed process for detecting deception and that it was very foolish and dangerous to rely on it to protect our national security and as an effective method of screening applicants. I thought you would accept my proof that even at its best, the polygraph was only accurate and reliable as a "lie detector" about 50% of

the time. And I thought that when you were confronted with the fact that you could not tell when someone was using the Sting Technique to manipulate and control every tracing on the polygraph chart you would be absolutely convinced that the polygraph was useless as a "lie detector" - and that you would report that fact to your superiors and caution them that it was foolish and dangerous to continue to rely on the polygraph as an accurate and reliable "lie detector." But again I was wrong in thinking you had any integrity. You were obviously more concerned with keeping your high paying government job than you were in giving a fair evaluation of the polygraph and your ability to detect the use of countermeasures - so you lied about your ability to detect the use of the Sting Technique and you continued to lie about the polygraph being accurate and reliable as a "lie detector". You lied to your superiors, to the government you swore to serve, and even to the polygraph operators you were instructing - you assured them that you could teach polygraph operators to detect when someone was using the Sting Technique when you knew good and well that was absolutely impossible.

YOUR STATEMENT: I had known of you in the Air Force, your security clearances, your being stationed in the White House. But I was nonetheless bowled over by your generous offer, and knew immediately that you were indeed a patriot of the first order, and a man whose word is his bond.

MY RESPONSE: Patriot? Yes, I am indeed a patriot. In fact my whole crusade against the dangerous myth of "lie detection" has been an act of patriotism - it has also been an attempt to stop the flagrant and callous abuse perpetrated by the evil polygraph industry.

YOUR STATEMENT: You will always be a hero to me for your unstinting generosity. I thank you from the bottom of my heart and am unhappy that I have taken so long in saying so.

MY RESPONSE: You are welcome. I only wish you had been honest about your ability to detect so-called countermeasures and that you would have warned those government officials who rely on the polygraph that their faith in you and your gadget was sorely misplaced. That would have been an act of patriotism on your part and would have been all the thanks I would ever need. But instead you continued to lie in order to protect your scam. Shame on you and your cohorts in the evil polygraph industry for perpetrating the fraud of "lie detection" just to enrich yourselves. In so doing, you demonstrated your callous disregard for the rights and dignity of others and have caused untold misery to literally millions of people. Again I say shame on you sir - you are nothing more than a liar, a fraud, a con man and a scoundrel! I hope you spend your golden years drawing your fat government pension pondering the damage you have done to your victims and to your country by perpetrating the myth of "lie detection."